HISTORIC SITES REVIEW COMMITTEE (HSRC)

Friday, March 3, 2023

A. CALL TO ORDER PUBLIC SESSION / AND ROLL CALL

1. Chair, Majewski called the meeting to order at 9:32 AM

B. ROLL CALL/INTRODUCTION OF MEMBERS AND STAFF

HSRC Committee Members Present

Terry Majewski, Chair

Don Ryden, Vice Chair - attended by phone, joined at 9:35 AM

Karl Hoerig

Jan Balsom

Doug Kupel

Lucas Lindsey

John Southard – attended by phone

Pat Stein

HSRC Committee Members Absent

Taz Khatri

SHPO Staff Members Present

Kathryn Leonard

William (Bill) Collins

Susan Lawson

Eric Vondy

Arianna Urban

Theresa Cano

Guests

Jen Levstik – attended by phone

Jodi Brown – attended by phone

Darnell Sells

Dennis Rushing

Estella Dunn

Davina Mayfield

Anise Banks (Sells)

Ronald Jordan

Dianne Post

Angela White

Christopher White

Nadine Glass

Cleophus Glass

Dorothy Wright

Cassandra Mathis

Charles Mathis

Carl Mathis

Lloyd Mathis

Wilbert Muldrow Dion Rushing Belinda Johnson Harvey Rushing, Jr. Jacob R. Sells Bernadine Lewis Kyle Muldrow Matt Jennings

C. <u>NEW BUSINESS</u>

NEW NATIONAL REGISTER NOMINATIONS

1. Randolph Historic District, Coolidge vicinity, Pinal County, Arizona

Collins provided an overview of the Randolph Historic District nomination.

MOTION TO OPEN DISCUSSION: - (00:11:34)

Hoerig moved that the State Historic Preservation Officer place the **RANDOLPH HISTORIC DISTRICT** on the Arizona Register of Historic Places at the **LOCAL** level of significance under **CRITERION A** and that the nomination be forwarded to the Keeper of the National Register for placement in the National Register. The motion was seconded by Balsom.

The committee listened to comments from the public before discussion of the agenda item.

Davita Mayfield spoke first. She said she was born and raised in Randolph. She discussed the richness in the history of the people and the buildings. She recalled the post office, Mr. Griffith's store, Melvin Moore's store, having to go outside to use the outhouse and having to haul in water for bath. She noted that though she no longer lives in Randolph, she visits regularly and is on the town council. She tries to keep the town's memories alive by sharing her memories with her children. She said there are still a lot of elders that live there. She would like to see the city preserved and prosper.

Anise Banks spoke next. She was born in Randolph 71 years ago. While she was not raised there, she visited her grandparents and family often. Banks spoke of her grandmother, who was Creek and Chactow, finding something on the land behind their home to create a potion to cure Banks of the flu when she was young. She spoke of her grandfather, Jack Banks, who raised 13 siblings there. She spoke of the positive things that came from the tiny village of Randolph. She said her uncle best described how her family migrated to Randolph comparing it to the opening of the television show *The Beverly Hillbillies*. She shared that her family migrated here from Arkansas, Texas, Oklahoma, and Missouri.

Ronald Jordan shared that he's third generation from Randolph, Arizona. Jordan estimated that his grandfather arrived in Randolph in the late 1930s or early 1940s and that his father followed soon after. His then mentioned that his father got into the cotton business as Pinal County was full of cotton fields. Workers were needed, so he said that word got back to places like Arkansas, Oklahoma, and Texas that there was work in Arizona so people came here to free themselves from those who controlled them. Jordan shared that his sister told him that she remembers the family leaving on a horse-drawn wagon, leaving at night in order to escape. He said once his father got established in Randolph he bought a large truck and brought people to the Arizona town. His father built cabins surrounding his home, helping those who came to Randolph, supplying them with

money, food, shelter, and other items to get by. Other farmers in the area had similar setups and Jordan noted that some of those cabins can still be found today from Florence to Casa Grande to Stanfield. Jordan mentioned that he had a job that gave afforded him the opportunity to take photos of these properties and that he still has those photos today. In 1986, Jordan's mother was approached by Dr. Greta LeSeur from the University of Arizona. Dr. LeSeur became interested in writing a book about the women of Randolph after reading an article in the Arizona Daily Star about an elder in town. Dr. LeSeur, being from Jamaica, was unaware that there were black communities in Arizona. Jordan went on to describe how there was a very familial feeling in town, where everyone took care of each other. Jordan explained that after arriving in Randolph and meeting with the people that lived there, Dr. LeSeur decided to write about the town as a whole, not just the women. He noted that it took a long time before residents trusted her to tell their stories, but eventually they did and she published a book 10 years later called, Not All Oakies Are White. He discussed reading the report on Randolph written by Westland, which was brought to his attention by Post. He noted that most of the other residents would not have been able to have access to it as they do not have broadband or internet. He said he found many errors in the report. Jordan said he wrote to Levstik alerting her that he felt that parts of the report needed correcting and that the places they got their information from was not accurate. He did note that parts of the report were quite accurate including the section on the fire. Jordan went on to mention that he updated minor aspects of his property at 5196 East Kleck Road not realizing that they would show up in the report as he recalled it saying "heavily modified," which discounted it as historic. He mentioned that the property is functional and used as a gathering spot in Randolph. Jordan said that he sent photos to Levstik of the property before the updates. He said he offered to give a tour of the property beyond the gates which is where he said Levstik and her team made the assessments of the property, but said he never received a return phone call. He said he spoke with Levstik via a phone call on March 2, 2023. He said he sent her photos and other information and she promised him to try to make the corrections he requested. Jordan mentioned that many of the babies born in Randolph were delivered by Miss Clara, a midwife, in the cabins on his property. Jordan said that he was a little angry at the report because he felt that the area was the most historic, was "denied" from the report. He said that the people of Randolph are good people and should be recognized, but it should be more than the green outlined area on the map shown in the report.

Dunn spoke and said her family moved to Randolph in 1964 from Phoenix. She said that her family purchased a property from Jordan's grandfather and her sister still lives on that property today. She recalled a program started by President Lyndon B. Johnson which appropriated funds to low-income, minority areas called the Migrant Opportunities Program. She mentioned that the program allowed for daycares to be established at the two churches in Randolph which where the St. Paul Church of God and Christ and the Randolph Baptist Church. She spoke of how members of the community rotated to work at the daycares which resulted in helping to build up the community through having employment for somewhere other than the Arizona Training Center. She also told of her father being one of the Randolph residents having a number of meetings in Florence with a supervisor which she believes eventually resulted in improvements to Randolph including paved roads and street signs. She suggested that members of the committee visit Randolph to get an idea of what is there.

Darnell Sells spoke about how he was born in Randolph and raised in Phoenix. He estimated that he has upwards of forty first cousins that were born in Randolph. He said that his parents had to leave Randolph to get better job opportunities. He referred to Randolph as an "anchor" in his family's lives and said that "you have to come to Randolph to understand Randolph." He said that there is a lot of history in Randolph, rich character, and strong people. He said that even though he was raised in Phoenix, his family has never abandoned Randolph and never will. Sells called Randolph "one of the last vestiges of what we have."

Chair Majewski asked for clarification that the report that Jordan spoke of was not the nomination. Collins said that the report Jordan spoke of served as Westland's basis for doing the nomination. Majewski also asked for clarification regarded the property that Jordan spoke of during comments. Collins said that he included the slide in the presentation for the HSRC meeting knowing that Jordan would be discussing it and wanted to provide some context to the members. Collins said that the property is outside of the boundary established in the nomination by Levstik.

Majewski went over Lawson's comments including questions of what should the name be "Randolph Historic District" or "Randolph, Arizona?" Lawson's comments said that the Arizona Training Center should read the "Arizona Training Program at Coolidge." Majewski suggested that both names should be noted as the names evolve. A member of the public stated that it was first known as the Arizona Children's Colony. He also noted that there was the Post Office, two grocery stores, two full-service stations, and a variety store.

At this point, Leonard spoke and noted that while it was unusual for her to insert herself in the discussion, she felt that she needed to make some comments. Leonard said that her own gut-feeling about the nomination was confirmed by the information that was presented in the meeting by the public speakers. Leonard then spoke directly to the public gathered at the meeting, calling Randolph, "by far one of the most important properties that we have had the privilege to hear at the Historic Sites Review Committee." Leonard went on to say she believes it to be bigger than a historic district, saying it is most likely what is known in the preservation field as a Traditional Cultural Place or Property because of the way people are coming back and bringing family and having celebrations like Juneteenth. Leonard said she had been taking extensive notes during the public comments and wants to hear more about the people of Randolph that were mentioned. Leonard noted that the nomination does not contain those stories and doesn't provide the full values of Randolph. Leonard said she believes that a different integrity threshold is needed for the properties allowing for a more holistic view of the landscape and things such as the concrete pads where the cabins once stood. Leonard said she believes that these stories should be included which means taking a step back so that they can be told correctly. Leonard went on to say that technically speaking it is a nomination that has a good chance to be listed in the National Register, but Leonard said she doesn't believe it to be the listing that fully captures Randolph and all of the stories that make it significant. Leonard went on to say that she had never done this before and asked those gathered what they wanted to do. Jordan spoke and said that when he spoke with Levstik the day before today's meeting, that she apologized and said she would take time to look at the images and information he sent to her. Leonard went on to say that she didn't believe that it was the failure of the consultant (Levstik), calling her one of the best in the business, but rather an issue of resources allocated for the nomination in relation to the importance of it. Leonard said that the nomination is under ethnic heritage, which includes community planning and believes she could get it through under that. But feels that the ethnic heritage which includes the stories mentioned today are not included as the nomination stands and for that area of significance, they need to be.

Post said that she didn't believe that the full \$270,000 set aside for the project was spent on the report and said she believes that there are resources available to do a more thorough job to include the stories of the people from the past and now.

Leonard said she pledged to work with the consultant, the funder, and her own resources to develop a plan for how to best engage in conversations with the elders, those who were born there, those who remember the stories of the businesses there as it is important to make sure that those stories are told. Leonard said she is in a position to bring those partnerships together. She said reiterated that this is no failure of the quality that has been presented as it meets the basic needs of a national nomination, but what Leonard is hearing is that it is so significant and said that we need to get it right. Leonard said the history is in the nomination, but the voices aren't there.

Leonard and Majewski apologized to Levstik noting that there were technical issues getting her on the phone.

Levstik spoke via phone and thanked the community members for their support and showing up for the meeting. Levstik addressed Jordan's main concern which was that his property was excluded in the listing. She noted that the other properties in his block were not of a historic age as they were built in the 1980s through the 2000s. She went on to say that based on an initial pedestrian evaluation of Jordan's parcel, which was called out in the nomination as his property was the only one of a historic age, there were three significant changes to his building. She noted that the evaluation was done from the street which is standard practice as they do not go on people's private property. The three changes were an addition to the front, stucco on the building, and replacement of windows. Levstik said that at the time based on those three incompatible changes and the other properties on the block not being of historic age, if the boundary were to be pulled down to include that property there would no longer be a viable historic district as there would be more noncontributing properties than contributing ones. Levstik said that the decision was made to make a tighter boundary with the highest concentration of historic, qualifying contributing buildings. Levstik said that when she spoke to Jordan, she explained that this was the rationale for excluding his property. Levstik went on to say that they were unaware of the foundations or the archaeological component within the front of his yard. Levstik said she sent an email to SHPO to amend the boundary of the district to pull down the boundary to include Jordan's parcel after reading his letter and being made aware of information that was not previously known. Levstik said that Jordan was able to positively date that the changes happened within the period of significance. The boundary expansion to include Jordan's parcel would add two contributors to the district. One being Jordan's property and the other the foundations of the former worker cabins which would be identified as an archaeological site to the historic district bringing the count to 31 contributing and 26 noncontributing properties. Levstik added that she sent that information to SHPO to make sure that SHPO was aware of the discussion she already had with Jordan. Levstik wanted to make clear that she is happy to include any other social history that is provided by the community. Levstik went on to say that she wanted it to be clear that this is a historic district document and not to conflate it with a social history that has been previously written. Levstik said she appreciates the idea of turning this into a Traditional Cultural Property, she believes those are two separate things. Levstik wanted to make it clear that information has not been purposefully excluded and that the opportunity for including that information is available. She went on to address her concern that something really important for the community of Randolph is potentially being sabotaged as she believes it's vital that they get this designation sooner rather than later. Levstik ended by saying that there are many things that can be leveraged by having the historic designation with the addition of Jordan's property.

Post said that Levstik is referring to grants and federal monies that are on hold until the proper designation is given which increases the community's position on various waiting lists for such funding.

After open discussion, the following recommendations were made by the Committee:

- Change level of significance from State to Local.
- Take a second look at the boundaries to confirm that properties have been overlooked.
- Adding more of the "voice" of Randolph to the nomination which would include interviewing people to get more stories that are further tied to the properties.
- Addition of a subdivision plat to the map.
- Photo quality needs to be improved, inclusion of historical photos as well.
- Kupel and Lindsey want to see an explicit statement about integrity threshold for this nomination allowing no question whether or not something has sufficient integrity to be listed, which may allow for the list of contributors to be higher as well.

Hoerig offered an amended motion to the nomination.

AMENDED MOTION: - (02:01:01)

Hoerig moved that the State Historic Preservation Officer place the **RANDOLPH HISTORIC DISTRICT** on the Arizona Register of Historic Places at the **STATE** level of significance under **CRITERION A** and that the nomination be forwarded to the Keeper of the National Register for placement in the National Register. The motion was seconded by Balsom.

The Chair called for a vote on the motion, which passed with vote of 8-0. - (02:01:40)

Consultant should revise nomination per comments and submit to SHPO for submission to the Keeper.

ROLL CALL VOTE		
Jan Balsom	Υ	
Karl Hoerig	Υ	
Taz Khatri	NP	
Douglas Kupel	Υ	
Lucas Lindsey	Υ	
Terry Majewski	Υ	
Don Ryden	Υ	
John Southard	Υ	
Pat Stein	Υ	

KEY

Y - For

N - Against

AB - Abstained

NP - Not Present

Majewski called for a break of 15 minutes at 11:35 a.m.

The meeting reconvened at 11:50 a.m.

2. Pima Community College West Campus, 2202 West Anklam Road, Tucson, Pima County, Arizona

Collins provided an overview of the Pima Community College West Campus nomination.

MOTION TO OPEN DISCUSSION: - (02:23:55)

Balsom moved that the State Historic Preservation Officer place the **PIMA COMMUNITY COLLEGE WEST CAMPUS** on the Arizona Register of Historic Places at the **LOCAL** level of significance under **CRITERION** C and that the nomination be forwarded to the Keeper of the National Register for placement in the National Register. The motion was seconded by Stein.

Jennings, preservationist and architect, provided public comment on the property saying that the photos do not do justice to the property. Noted that there is a lot of interplay that doesn't come through.

After open discussion, the following recommendations were made by the Committee:

- The historic name should be Pima County Junior College
- Period of significance should be changed to 1970.

- The boundary should be bumped out to the sidewalk and incorporate the mechanical yard with a historic wall around it.
- Balsom noted there is an inconsistency with buildings that are recommended for future incorporation that are not within the boundary.

The Chair called for a vote on the motion, which passed with vote of 8-0. - (02:38:07)

Consultant should revise nomination per comments and submit to SHPO for submission to the Keeper.

ROLL CALL VOTE	
Jan Balsom	Υ
Karl Hoerig	Υ
Taz Khatri	NP
Douglas Kupel	Υ
Lucas Lindsey	Υ
Terry Majewski	Υ
Don Ryden	Υ
John Southard	Υ
Pat Stein	Υ

KEY

Y - For

N - Against

AB - Abstained

NP - Not Present

3. <u>Brown Canyon Ranch, Coronado National Forest, Sierra Vista Ranger District, Forest Service Road 5736, Cochise County, Arizona</u>

Collins provided an overview of the Brown Canyon Ranch nomination.

MOTION TO OPEN DISCUSSION: - (02:40:58)

Stein moved that the State Historic Preservation Officer place **BROWN CANYON RANCH** on the Arizona Register of Historic Places at the **LOCAL** level of significance under **CRITERION A and CRITERION C** and that the nomination be forwarded to the Keeper of the National Register for placement in the National Register. The motion was seconded by Balsom.

Levstik, nomination preparer, spoke about the nomination.

After open discussion, the following recommendations were made by the Committee:

- Kupel noted a discrepancy of the period of significance in the narrative in several places.
- The nomination does not make ample use of the MPDF to link up what the property type is and how it meets the registration requirements for that property type.
- The statement of significance is in the wrong place in the nomination. It needs to be moved from the end of Section 7 to Section 8.
- It appears that there is something missing with the transition from the Haverty to the James family in Section 8, page 27. This needs to be clarified.
 - Question about the date of the engraving on the joist needs to be answered.

- Resource numbering on the map is awkward, needs to be addressed.
- Ryden suggested investigated what the WPA's role was at the ranch.
- Ryden suggested looking into when the porch and screen were added and if this has any association to any one of the families in particular?
 - Section 7, page 11 reference to "J.W. Haverty" should be changed to full text of inscription.

The Chair called for a vote on the motion, which passed with vote of 8-0. - (03:04:29)

Consultant should revise nomination per comments and submit to SHPO for submission to the Keeper.

ROLL CALL VOTE		
Jan Balsom	Υ	
Karl Hoerig	Υ	
Taz Khatri	NP	
Douglas Kupel	Υ	
Lucas Lindsey	Υ	
Terry Majewski	Υ	
Don Ryden	Υ	
John Southard	Υ	
Pat Stein	Υ	

KEY

Y - For

N - Against

AB - Abstained

NP - Not Present

- 4. <u>Blenman-Elm Historic District (Additional Documentation), 2350 East Elm Street, Tucson, Pima County, Arizona</u>
- 5. <u>Feldman's Historic District (Additional Documentation), 1330 North Tyndall Avenue, Tucson, Pima County, Arizona</u>
- 6. <u>Miracle Mile Historic District [Tucson] (Additional Documentation), 534 and 536 North Stone Avenue, Tucson, Pima County, Arizona</u>
- 8. <u>Portland Street Historic District (Additional Documentation), Tucson, Pima County,</u> Arizona

Chair Majewski asked the committee if they had any objections for compressing items 4-6 and 8 on a consent agenda and have item 7 have its own motion.

MOTION TO OPEN DISCUSSION: - (03:06:15)

Kupel moved that the Historic Sites Review Committee approve the staff recommendations for items 4, 5, 6, and 8 on the agenda 2350 EAST ELM STREET, TUCSON; 1330 NORTH TYNDALL AVENUE, TUCSON; 534 AND 536 NORTH STONE ROAD, TUCSON; AND PORTLAND STREET HISTORIC DISTRICT, PHOENIX and request that the State Historic Preservation

Officer forward those staff recommendations to the Keeper of the National Register for action and filing. The motion was seconded by Lindsey.

The Chair called for a vote on the motion, which passed with a vote of 8-0. (03:07:55)

Staff recommended changes should be submitted by SHPO to the Keeper.

ROLL CALL VO	TE
Jan Balsom	Υ
Karl Hoerig	Υ
Taz Khatri	NP
Douglas Kupel	Υ
Lucas Lindsey	Υ
Terry Majewski	Υ
Don Ryden	Υ
John Southard	Υ
Pat Stein	Υ

KEY Y - For N - Against

AB - Abstained

NP - Not Present

7. West University Historic District (Additional Documentation), 818 ½ East Speedway Boulevard, Tucson, Pima County, Arizona

Collins provided an overview of additional documentation for the property at 818 ½ East Speedway Boulevard, Tucson, Arizona in the West University Historic District.

MOTION TO OPEN DISCUSSION: - (03:10:15)

Kupel moved that the Historic Sites Review Committee accept the staff recommendations at the building at 818 ½ EAST SPEEDWAY BOULEVARD, TUCSON in the WEST UNIVERSITY HISTORIC DISTRICT be classified as a non-contributor to the district and we recommend that the State Historic Preservation Officer forward these recommendations to the Keeper of the National Register for action. The motion was seconded by Balsom.

Tucson HPO Brown was available for comment.

Kupel withdrew his original motion. Balsom seconded the withdrawal.

MOTION TO TABLE ITEM: - (03:42:27)

Kupel moved to table this agenda item until such time that the Historic Sites Review Committee receives additional information on the building including whether or not it should have been a contributor in the first place, better photos, and a plat map of the parcel showing the relationship of the out building to the main building. The motion was seconded by Southard.

The Chair called for a vote on the motion, which passed with a vote of 8-0. (03:45:43)

ROLL CALL VOTE	
Jan Balsom	Υ
Karl Hoerig	Υ
Taz Khatri	NP
Douglas Kupel	Υ
Lucas Lindsey	Υ
Terry Majewski	Υ
Don Ryden	Υ
John Southard	Υ
Pat Stein	Υ

KEY

Y - For

N - Against

AB - Abstained

NP - Not Present

D. OLD BUSINESS:

1. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM NOVEMBER 18, 2022 HSRC MEETING

MOTION: Kupel called for a motion to accept the minutes with minor fixes. The motion was seconded by Hoerig. – (03:47:13)

Kupel asked for Cano to inquire with Khatri if she would like to have her reasoning for voting against the motion to move the Masonic Temple nomination to the National Register during the November 18th, 2022 meeting added to the record. Cano agreed to inquire with Khatri.

The chair called for a vote on the motion, and it was passed by a vote of 8-0. - (03:52:25)

ROLL CALL VOTE	
Υ	
Υ	
NP	
Υ	
Υ	
Υ	
Υ	
Υ	
Υ	

KEY

Y - For

N - Against

AB - Abstained

NP - Not Present

E. PROGRAM REPORTS

1. SHPO REPORT

a. National Register update, listings, property status, workflow -

Collins reported that since the November 18, 2022 HSRC meeting Properties Listed in National Register include: Knorpp, Walter Wesley, House, Phoenix; Scottsdale North, Scottsdale; Sam Hughes Neighborhood Historic District (update and boundary expansion), Tucson; Westwood Historic District (Additional Documentation), Phoenix; Broadmoor Historic District (Additional Documentation), Tucson.

b. SHPO Staffing and Program News -

Leonard reported that HSRC members Stein and Balsom are vacating their spots on the committee as of the March 3, 2023 meeting. Leonard thanked them for their service. Leonard said she is looking for replacements and asked that names be sent to the SHPO office. Leonard announced that SHPO is in the process of looking for candidates for a Grants Manager position. Asha Greyeyes has finished her internship with SHPO. Konrad Howard has started his internship with SHPO and reports to Mary-Ellen Walsh. Howard is working on digitizing compliance records. SHPO staff recently had their annual retreat to work on strategic planning for the State Plan. The retreat was held at the Masonic Temple in downtown Phoenix. Leonard noted that it is a planning year for SHPO and that HSRC members should expect to be asked for their input regarding the State Plan. Leonard announced that she is finalizing a request for information for a large enterprise database that is desperately needed as SHPO has no GIS capabilities.

c. Review and Compliance -

Leonard reported SHPO is doing the Arizona Snowbowl consultation. SHPO executed their programmatic agreement with Ft. Huachuca. FCC has a consent decree against them for issues with consultations on cell phone towers. Looking ahead, Leonard mentioned that the GSA will be disposing of Titan Missile #4 by moving its ownership over to Pima County. The property is a National Historic Landmark.

d. Survey and Inventory -

SHPO just completed a pilot program with Pima County and AZGEO to take the entire inventory of historic properties in Pima County from SHPO's database and put it into AZGEO which will give GIS-based function in the portal. Leonard noted that this was a first step to see what it would take to eventually implement the program statewide. SHPO is working on a strategic plan for the Site Steward Program. SHPO listed four properties on the Dude Ranch Heritage Trail.

e. Grants -

SHPO has obligated one hundred percent of its funds for SHPO's Heritage Fund grants for the year. Leonard reported that there has been an increase in the Historic Preservation Fund appropriation which is how SHPO operates.

f. Legislative Issues –

Federal level – Nothing new to report.

State level – Leonard reported that there is a Heritage Fund Bill moving through the Senate which is funded at \$10 million and contains a provision to permanently fund it through the lottery as it used to be, it is currently back in statute, but doesn't have a permanent fund attached to it so has to be appropriated from the general fund.

g. HP 2023 Conference update -

Leonard reported that 2023 conference will take place in Tucson. SHPO is finalizing plans with the TCC and Pima County Courthouse. SHPO is looking at a theme of Preserving Hard History.

2. AHAC REPORT

Majewski reported that AHAC has been busy this year. They met in November to review the Heritage Fund Grants. They also met in December and named a new chair, Susan Shaffer.

G. PUBLIC COMMENT:

None

H. CALL FOR AGENDA ITEMS FOR NEXT COMMITTEE MEETING (07/14/2023):

Kupel inquired about a NCSHPO-like assembly for review boards. Leonard said she will look into it for the next meeting.

I. ADJOURNED:

The Chair called the meeting adjourned at 1:42 p.m.

Minutes prepared by: Theresa Cano, State Historic Preservation Office and was posted on Tuesday, March 14, 2023

Minutes are considered draft until official approved by the Committee at the next scheduled meeting